UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,418	06/09/2006	Robert Egli	2003СН011	4882	
25255 CLARIANT CO	7590 12/24/200 <b>DRPORATION</b>	8	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			POWERS, FIONA		
4000 MONROE ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/582,418	EGLI, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fiona T. Powers	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> lely filed  the mailing date of this α  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) tte				

Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed June 9, 2008, which has been entered in the file.

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egli (WO 2004/035690), cited.

## Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

The reference discloses a structurally similar azo disperse dye that is useful for the dyeing and printing of hydrophobic materials. Note page 6, lines 13 to 32. The dye of the reference is structurally similar to the claimed disperse dyes of the formula (I) wherein D is the radical ( $K_1$ ) wherein  $K_1$  is acylamino and  $K_2$  is hydrogen;  $K_1$  is ethyl; Y is  $K_2$  alkylene;  $K_1$  is hydrogen; and  $K_2$  is phenyl. Note Example 29 on page 21. The reference also discloses a similar process for the preparation of the dye. Note page 4, line 22 to page 5, line 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,418 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

## Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The dye of the reference differs from that claimed in that it is a homolog. For example, the group that corresponds to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is ethyl instead of methyl or the group that corresponds to Y is ethylene instead of propylene.

## Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

It has been held that homologs are obvious over one another. See In re Wood, 199 USPQ 137, for example. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the claimed dyes with the expectation that additional dyes useful for dyeing and printing hydrophobic materials would be obtained. The claimed dyes would have been rendered obvious by the homolog of the reference in the absence of any unobvious property. The claimed method of dyeing and printing, process for preparing the dye, dye composition an fibrous material would also have been rendered obvious in the absence of any unobvious property or result.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be

Application/Control Number: 10/582,418 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fiona T. Powers/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit
1626

ftp December 22, 2008